#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 424 516 CG 028 803

AUTHOR Ellis, Albert

TITLE Three Methods of Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy That Make

My Psychotherapy Effective.

PUB DATE 1998-08-00

NOTE 8p.; Paper presented at the Annual Convention of the

American Psychological Association (106th, San Francisco,

CA, August 14-18, 1998).

PUB TYPE Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Behavior Modification; Cognitive Restructuring; Counseling

Effectiveness; \*Counseling Techniques; Psychotherapy;

\*Rational Emotive Therapy

IDENTIFIERS \*Ellis (Albert)

#### ABSTRACT

This paper discusses three serious cognitive-emotive errors clients make when they are confronted with situations that block their important goals and how to act against self-defeating errors and move on to greater mental health and self-actualization. Three of the main ways in which clients think, feel, and act against their best interests are: (1) they evaluate their own performances and try to correct and improve them, but along with that they rate their total self, their being, their personhood; (2) people evaluate others' ideas and feelings, and they often make global ratings of others; (3) people rate their environmental conditions as good or bad. As such, clients are actively encouraged to achieve three highly important cognitive-emotive-behavioral states: (1) unconditional self-acceptance; (2) unconditional other-acceptance; and (3) high frustration tolerance. (EMK)



# Three Methods of Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy That Make My Psychotherapy Effective

Albert Ellis, Ph.D.

Albert Ellis Institute, New York City

Send requests for reprints to:

Albert Ellis, Ph.D.

45 East 65th Street

New York, NY 10021-6508

e-mail: info@rebt.org

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- ☐ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

A. ELLIS

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."



I could humorously say that the three things that make my psychotherapy most effective are me, me, me. But effective therapy means that clients do not merely <u>feel better</u> because a therapist gives them "paid friendship" and thereby rescues them temporarily from feelings of shithood. It also can mean their <u>getting better</u> in the present and future, independently of the therapist's support and approval.

Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy (REBT), which I have practiced for 43 years, holds that people get and stay better in many different ways but that they are most likely to do so when they accomplish their basic goals, desires, and preferences but also resist escalating them into unrealistic and grandiose absolutistic musts, demands, and imperatives. Unfortunately, however, they frequently make three serious cognitive-emotive errors when they are confronted with situations that block their important goals and interests. So I spend much of my therapeutic time showing my clients how to correct and act against self-defeating errors and how to move on to greater mental health and self-actualization.

Three of the main ways in which clients think, feel, and act against their best interests are these:

First, they evaluate or rate their own performances, at work, love, and recreational pursuits, and then sensibly try to correct and improve them. But along with rating how they think, feel, and act they also irrationally rate their total self, their being, their personhood.

This is largely irrational and self-defeating, because all humans are quite fallible, frequently perform badly, and gain social disapproval. Their global self-ratings, therefore, lead to considerable anxiety and depression. When they <u>do</u> badly, they conclude that they <u>are</u> bad (Ellis, 1998; Ellis & Harper, 1997).



Second, people continually evaluate others' ideas, feelings, which help them to get along with these others, and to protect themselves from exploitation. But similar to their self-rating, they often make global ratings of others—of their general goodness or badness as persons. Frequently, therefore, they denigrate and hate people and not merely what they do. This human tendency to overgeneralize leads to considerable anger, fury, feuds, wars, genocide, and other kinds of destructiveness (Ellis & Blau, 1998; Ellis & Tafrate, 1997).

Third, people rate and evaluate their environmental conditions as favorable or unfavorable, good or bad. They thereby are able to improve or avoid "bad" conditions and to create better ones. But, once again, they often overgeneralize and condemn life as a whole, awfulize about the situation or world in which they reside, and turn their dislike of some conditions into "I can't stand it" horrors. They thereby create low frustration tolerance, depression, self-pity, and purposelessness (Ellis & Dryden, 1997; Ellis, Gordon, Neenan, & Palmer, 1997).

Naturally being an REBT practitioner, I use its theory of human disturbance. I assume that my clients frequently disturb themselves by, first, preferring but second, also demanding that they have to succeed at important tasks, that other people absolutely must treat them well, and that environmental conditions have to be better than, in fact, they are.

I further assume that my clients not only learnt heir harmful imperatives from their families, peers, teachers, and mass media—which nauseatingly promote them and reinforce them—but they also have their own innate tendencies to take their most important desires and raise them into grandiose demands. They are both socially prodded <u>and</u> inherently inclined to make their wishes into unrealistic necessities. Consequently, they may irrationally and rigidly hold on to them, even when they acknowledge their harm.



Nothing daunted—for if I fail as a therapist, I only acknowledge and try to correct my failing but never foolishly view myself as a failure—I jump strongly into the fray. As you might expect, I keep actively encouraging practically all my clients to achieve three highly important cognitive-emotive-behavioral states:

- 1. <u>Unconditional Self-Acceptance (USA)</u>. I not only give them, as did Carl Rogers (1961), unconditional self-acceptance (USA), but I also forcefully <u>teach</u> them how to achieve this healthy state. Giving USA is good but has a great danger that they will mainly accept themselves <u>because</u> I (or some other therapist) accept them. But this is highly <u>conditional</u> acceptance. Outside of therapy very few individuals will <u>unconditionally</u> accept them and will often denigrate them. Therefore, they will tend to think, "Because I am not accepted by these people, I am an unlovable, worthless person." So I teach them, with cognitive as well as experiential-behavioral exercises, to choose to always accept themselves just because they are alive and human, <u>whether or not</u> they perform well or are approved by others. Or else I encourage them to take the unique REBT view that they can rate only their deeds as good or bad, in accordance with whether they fulfill their goals and purposes. But they can still refuse to rate themselves globally, to evaluate their personhood or being. If I help them choose unconditional self-acceptance, they will rarely feel depressed and anxious when, as is often the case, they may well be unachieving or be disapproved (Ellis & Harper, 1997).
- 2. <u>Unconditional Other-Acceptance (UOA)</u>. In giving my clients unconditional acceptance as persons, even when they foolishly defeat themselves and treat me and others badly. I thus act as a model to encourage their working to achieve unconditional otheracceptance (UOA). This means that whatever other people do and however unfairly they act,



I show my clients how to accept the sinner though not his or her sins, to try to help others behave better, and to refuse to damn them as persons even when they act abominably. Conditional other-acceptance, like conditional self-acceptance, gives the message that people are only worthy if they perform satisfactorily and that otherwise they are damnable. It is socially learned but also seems to have innate propensities, since practically every one of us often hates other people and not merely their poor behaviors. Therefore, as a therapist I steadily teach unconditional other-acceptance in a number of cognitive, emotive, and behavioral ways. When I help my clients achieve a good measure of it, they surrender much of their rage, temper tantrums, and violence and do less physical and emotional harm to themselves and others (Ellis & Tafrate, 1997).

3. <u>High Frustration Tolerance (HFT).</u> Practically all clients have considerable low frustration tolerance (LFT) for both innate and acquired reasons. When faced with troubles, restrictions, and deprivations, they rationally try to decrease them and improve upon them. But they also irrationally define them as "awful" or "catastrophic" when they are merely uncomfortable. As noted above, they insist that serious frustrations <u>absolutely must not</u> exist and make themselves horrified, depressed, and self-pitying when, as humans often are, they are afflicted by them.

Assuming that many, and perhaps most, clients have considerable LFT, I do my best, using several cognitive, emotive, and behavioral methods, to help them achieve an attitude of higher frustration tolerance.

Specifically, I encourage them to believe and feel that however much they <u>want</u> something they definitely do not <u>need</u> it; to think and feel that it is not <u>awful</u> but only highly



inconvenient when they are deprived; to feel that they can stand what they don't <u>like</u>' and to solidly conclude that long-range hedonism, or striving for today's pleasures without neglecting tomorrow's, will often get them more of what they want and less of what they dislike. If I can help them to achieve considerably more high frustration tolerance than they had at the beginning of therapy, I find that they are distinctly benefited emotionally and that they usually get more life satisfactions (Ellis, Gordon, Neenan, & Palmer, 1997).

Human disturbance takes many forms, afflicts individuals in diverse ways, and is affected at times by various situations and conditions. Therefore, there is no single therapeutic technique that will always work or that can be consistently applied by a therapist in the "right" manner. Clients and their situations, as well as therapists and their situations, differ enormously. No perfect client-therapist-therapeutic procedure is likely to be achieved by any therapist for all of his or her clients. The human condition and the vagaries of life bar that eventuality.

I therefore am not claiming that the three therapy methods I highlight in this paper are panaceas nor that they will work for all clients and therapists all the time. But I have found them remarkably useful with many people with a variety of disturbances. I am eager to learn how effective other therapists find them.



# References

- Ellis, A. (1998). How to control your anxiety before it controls you. Secaucus, NJ: Carol Publishing Group.
- Ellis, A., & Blau, S. (1998). Rational emotive behavior therapy. <u>Directions in Clinical and Counseling Psychology</u>, 8(4), 41-56.
- Ellis, A., & Dryden, W. (1997). The practice of rational emotive behavior therapy. New York: Springer.
- Ellis, A., Gordon, J., Neenan, M., & Palmer, S. (1997). <u>Stress counseling: A rational</u> emotive behavior approach. London: Cassell. New York: Springer.
- Ellis, A., & Harper, R. A. (1997). A guide to rational living. North Hollywood, CA: Melvin Powers.
- Ellis, A., & Tafrate, R. C. (1997). How to control your anger before it controls you. Two audio cassettes. Read by Stephen O'Hara. San Bruno, CA: Audio Literature.
- Rogers, C. R. (1961). On becoming a person. Boston: Houghton-Mifflin.





# U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) National Library of Education (NLE) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



# REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)		
I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION		
Title: Three He Thod: That Make My Ps	of Retional Emotive B	chavior Therapy
Author(s): Albert Ell	١٤	
Corporate Source:		Publication Date:
monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Real and electronic media, and sold through the ERI	timely and significant materials of interest to the ed sources in Education (RIE), are usually made avail IC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Cred	ducational community, documents announced in the able to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, lit is given to the source of each document, and, if
If permission is granted to reproduce and disse of the page.  The sample sticker shown below will be		E of the following three options and sign at the bottom  The sample sticker shown below will be
affixed to all Level 1 documents	affixed to all Level 2A documents	affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
sample	sample	sample
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
1	2A	2B
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
1		
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
	nents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality eproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be pro	
I hereby grant to the Educational Resou as indicated above. Reproductión fro	urces Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permi om the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by per	ssion to reproduce and disseminate this document rsons other than ERIC employees and its system

Sign here,→ please REBLORE

contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies

of educators in response to discrete inquiries.



### ERIC COUNSELING AND STUDENT SERVICES CLEARINGHOUSE

201 Ferguson Building • University of North Carolina at Greensboro • PO Box 26171 Greensboro, NC 27402-6171 • 800/414.9769 • 336/334.4114 • FAX: 336/334.4116 e-mail: ericcass@uncg.edu

e-mail: ericcass@uncg.ed

## Dear 1998 APA Presenter:

The ERIC Clearinghouse on Counseling and Student Services invites you to contribute to the ERIC database by providing us with a written copy of the presentation you made at the American Psychological Association's 106th Annual Convention in San Francisco August 14-18, 1998. Papers presented at professional conferences represent a significant source of educational material for the ERIC system. We don't charge a fee for adding a document to the ERIC database, and authors keep the copyrights.

As you may know, ERIC is the largest and most searched education database in the world. Documents accepted by ERIC appear in the abstract journal Resources in Education (RIE) and are announced to several thousand organizations. The inclusion of your work makes it readily available to other researchers, counselors, and educators; provides a permanent archive; and enhances the quality of RIE. Your contribution will be accessible through the printed and electronic versions of RIE, through microfiche collections that are housed at libraries around the country and the world, and through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). By contributing your document to the ERIC system, you participate in building an international resource for educational information. In addition, your paper may listed for publication credit on your academic vita.

To submit your document to ERIC/CASS for review and possible inclusion in the ERIC database, please send the following to the address on this letterhead:

- (1) Two (2) laser print copies of the paper,
- (2) A signed reproduction release form (see back of letter), and
- (3) A 200-word abstract (optional)

Documents are reviewed for contribution to education, timeliness, relevance, methodology, effectiveness of presentation, and reproduction quality. Previously published materials in copyrighted journals or books are not usually accepted because of Copyright Law, but authors may later publish documents which have been acquired by ERIC. However, should you wish to publish your document with a scholarly journal in the future, please contact the appropriate journal editor prior to submitting your document to ERIC. It is possible that some editors will consider even a microfiche copy of your work as "published" and thus will not accept your submission. In the case of "draft" versions, or preliminary research in your area of expertise, it would be prudent to inquire as to what extent the percentage of duplication will effect future publication of your work. Finally, please feel free to copy the reproduction release for future or additional submissions.

Sincerely,

Jillian Barr Joncas

Assistant Director for Acquisitions and Outreach





ThreeThings